

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,430	10/28/2003	Avram Scheiner	279.656US1	4468	
	7590 07/26/2007 J. LUNDBERG, WOESSN	IFR & KILITH P A	EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938.			NASSER, ROBERT L		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3735		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			07/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		X	Ý			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>V</i>			
	10/695,430	SCHEINER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert L. Nasser	3735				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u>	•					
· —	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 20-29 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 20-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
•	•					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) ☐ Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F.10-348) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/7/07 and 3/7/05</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/695,430

Art Unit: 3735

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10, 20-22, and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jensen et al 6752765. Jensen shows a device that has an activity sensor, which is an accelerometer (see column 5, line 10), and an autonomic tone sensor, which measures heart rate or heart rate variability, and in the method of claim 10, separates the heart rate signal into those occurring at rest and those occurring not at rest. Claim 3 is rejected in that the system includes an adaptive rate sensor, i.e. the activity sensor is used, in part, to adjust the pacing rate. Claim 5 is rejected in that the processor telemetrically communicates with an external device. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected in that the processor is part of a pacer that has a pulse generator therein. Claim 8 is rejected in that the system stores data in the implanted device, which is stored as a binary code. Claim 9 is rejected in that the whole system is implanted and hence there is an implanted lead. Claims 20-22, and 25 are rejected in that Jensen also teaches the method. Claim 26 is rejected in that the heart rate signal is classified as at rest based on the activity signal. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected in that the heart rate data is compared to stored trend data obtained from a selected population, i.e. the patient. Claim 29 is rejected in that a warning is indicated based on the comparison, to

Application/Control Number: 10/695,430

Art Unit: 3735

adjust the pacing rate. In other words, a signal saying that the rate must be adjusted is a warning of sorts.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensen et al in view of Koh et al 7207947. Koh et al further teaches the equivalence of an accelerometer or a impedance minute ventilation sensor as activity sensors. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Jensen et al to use minute ventilation sensor, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent sensor for another.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Zhou et al 2003/0191403 calculates a score as a function of Heart rate variability (autonomic tone) and physical activity.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is 571 272-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571 272-4730. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3735

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

RLN July 22, 2007